

Sprint Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees

Obilio Cardozo
Andrea Matos
Michal Rodney
Katherine Barrios
Genaro Brito

Start time: 8:00PM

End time: 9:30PM

What went wrong?

- Some confusion at the start of the sprint due to switching focus from general UI setup to the scam detection logic.
- The algorithm development took more time than expected, and team members weren't fully clear on the implementation approach.

Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?

We slightly overestimated. The 17-point estimate was optimistic considering the technical challenges of implementing detection logic..

Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?

Some stories, like the detection algorithm and blocking feature, were more complex than expected. The rest were generally well-estimated..

Did each team member work as scheduled?

Yes, each team member contributed to their assigned stories. No issues were reported with workload distribution.

What went right?

- We built a working UI where users can paste a job description and get a message response.
- The team made good progress on detection logic structure, even if it's not finalized.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- Break down complex tasks (like detection) into smaller pieces to track progress better.
- Schedule a short check-in mid-sprint to align on who is working on what.

How to improve the product?

- Finish the detection logic and begin refining message output.
- Add more user-facing sections like the “About” section.
- Polish layout, button spacing, and loading states to improve overall presentation.